Single Bench

c.s.1002

3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (CG)

WRIT PETITION NO. 125 /2005

PETITIONER

Shankar Lal Pandey, aged about 36 years, son of Motilal Pandey, resident of Irrigation Collony, Janjgir, working as Helper, Distt. Janjgir-Champa (CG)

**VERSUS**

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh through : the Secretary, Department of Human Resources, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

P.R. No. 736/05
Presented by Shri Sanjay Dhal
dated 22/02/05

2. The Chief Engineer, Department of Irrigation, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (CG)

3. The Executive Engineer, Department of Irrigation, Janjgir-Champa (Chhattisgarh)

4. The Sub Divisional Officer (irrigation), Department of Irrigation, Janjgir Branch Canal Janjgir Hasdeo Project, Distt. Janjgir-Champa (CG)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA,

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

**आदेश पत्रक**

मामला क्रमांक W.P.1254 सन् 2005

..... विरुद्ध .....

| आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित | आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|

**5-4-2005**

Mr. V.S. Tiwari, counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Dubey, Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents.

Heard both the counsel.

By this writ petition, the petitioner has raised grievance that he was appointed on 1-9-1987 as daily wager, since then he is working, he has also undergone training during the course of his employment, and he is a graduate. The petitioner's services are not being considered for regularisation as per Rule 6 (1) of the Contingent Paid Employees Recruitment and Conditions of Service Rules, 1977.

From the perusal of the petition it appears that the petitioner has never made any representation to the respondents. In the circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.2 raising his grievances and respondent No.2 be directed to decide the same. To this submission, Mr. Dubey, learned counsel for the State has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a representation to respondent No.2 raising all his grievances, and respondent No.2 shall decide the same considering all the grievances raised by the petitioner within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the representation, in accordance with law.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, I.A.No.2431/2005 also stands disposed of.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

Soma